

7 – Inflation without Inflation

Consequences of the Time-Symmetric Parity Constraint

Ian Salisbury, Oxford, September 2025

[Back to the Catalogue](#)

Abstract

The paradigm of inflation was introduced to resolve three early-universe puzzles: flatness, horizon uniformity, and finely tuned initial conditions. Each requires a scalar inflation field with special potentials, but none has been independently confirmed. The Time-Symmetric Parity Constraint (TSPC) offers a structural alternative. By enforcing global temporal symmetry through a fixed algebraic condition on the spacetime metric, it forbids geometries that admit an absolute direction of time and requires the universe to evolve in mirrored domains from a shared low-entropy origin. Flatness arises naturally from the symmetric evolution of the scale factor, which constrains curvature across both

temporal branches. The horizon problem is dissolved because global temporal symmetry enforces coherence across the manifold, eliminating the need for superluminal expansion. The requirement of a special inflation potential is avoided, since initial order follows from the constraint rather than from fine-tuned dynamics. No new degrees of freedom are introduced. A single bounded parameter modifies gravitational coupling in a coherent, testable way, leaving distinct observational signatures. Inflation's puzzles, invented to motivate a scalar field, are here resolved by exclusion: once global temporal symmetry is imposed, they do not arise

1. Introduction

The Λ CDM model has been extended by the hypothesis of inflation, a short-lived epoch of exponential expansion invoked to resolve three structural puzzles: the near-critical flatness of the universe, the large-scale uniformity of the cosmic microwave background, and the apparent need for finely tuned initial conditions. These puzzles are treated as defects of general relativity alone, to be repaired by a scalar inflation field with carefully chosen potential. Yet no trace of such a field has been detected, and its theoretical motivation rests on circumstantial grounds. Over time, the paradigm has become increasingly elaborate, with successive adjustments introduced to preserve concordance with observation¹.

TSPC provides a structural alternative. It does not posit new degrees of freedom, nor a scalar inflation. Instead, it imposes an algebraic condition on the spacetime metric, enforced by a fixed involutive tensor field. This constraint forbids geometries that admit an absolute direction of time and requires the universe to evolve symmetrically in two mirrored temporal domains, from a shared state of minimal entropy at $T = 0^-$ to a reconvergent state at $T = 0^+$.

¹ See Guth, A. H., *Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems*, Phys. Rev. D 23, 347 (1981), introducing inflation to resolve horizon and flatness puzzles.

In this framework, the inflationary puzzles dissolve. Flatness follows from the symmetric evolution of the scale factor, which constrains curvature across both temporal branches. Horizon uniformity emerges because the global temporal symmetry enforces coherence across the manifold, removing the need for a superluminal expansion phase. The requirement for special initial conditions disappears: order at the origin is fixed by the constraint itself, not by a finely tuned dynamical mechanism.

The consequence is a cosmology in which inflation is not required. The algebraic constraint modifies gravitational coupling in a tightly bounded way, producing coherent and testable effects on expansion, structure growth, and lensing. Observations now underway, DESI, Euclid, LSST, and the SKA, will either confirm the predicted pattern or exclude it.

2. Algebraic Framework

The inflationary paradigm rests on the claim that only an epoch of exponential expansion can resolve three structural puzzles: the flatness of the universe, the coherence of the cosmic microwave background across apparent horizons, and the improbability of finely tuned initial conditions. In the TSPC framework, these puzzles dissolve without recourse to a scalar inflation. The algebraic condition restricts the metric to globally time-symmetric forms, enforcing mirrored evolution from a shared low-entropy minimum.

The scale factor expands symmetrically to a tipping point of maximum entropy and contracts in reverse, bounding curvature across both branches. Flatness is secured structurally, since symmetric $a(T)$ constrains curvature evolution about T^{tip} . Horizon coherence is guaranteed because the parity constraint enforces causal consistency across the manifold, so large-scale coherence reflects global symmetry rather than superluminal expansion. The requirement for a finely tuned inflation potential is avoided: order at the origin is not a contingent state but intrinsic to the constraint, which admits no alternative. Inflation thus becomes redundant: its explanatory targets are eliminated by exclusion, not invention.

The results are summarised algebraically as follows (schematic form):

$$S(T) = S_{\min} + \beta T^2$$

$$a(T) \propto \exp\left(\int \sigma(T) dT\right)$$

Entropy rises symmetrically from a minimum at $T = 0$; the scale factor follows this arc, fixing the global structure.

$$\Omega(T) - 1 = \frac{k}{a^2 H^2}$$

Because $a(T)$ is symmetric about the tipping point, curvature cannot diverge; flatness ($\Omega \approx 1$) is a structural consequence, not a dynamical repair².

$$d_{\text{hor}}(T) = \int_0^T \frac{dT'}{a(T')}$$

Mirrored temporal domains extend the causal horizon naturally; CMB uniformity follows without superluminal inflation³.

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} S(T) = S_{\text{min}}$$

The low-entropy origin is intrinsic to the constraint; special inflation potentials are unnecessary, as order at the origin is structurally enforced.

3. Observational Consequences

Inflation has long been valued for its explanatory power, but its predictions are not uniquely observed. In TSPC the same explanatory targets are accounted for structurally, and the empirical consequences differ in ways that are already under test. No scalar inflation is present, and no reheating epoch is required. The entropy arc implies a symmetric profile of expansion, so primordial conditions are constrained by geometry rather than by a scalar potential. This yields a fluctuation spectrum that is smooth and nearly scale-invariant, with no requirement for fine-tuned inflationary potentials.

Early data are consistent with this picture in several respects. The observed mid-redshift hump in apparent acceleration matches the parity-induced clock-skew predicted by TSPC, rather than the monotonic acceleration implied by Λ CDM and inflation. The uniformity of the CMB is explained without invoking superluminal expansion while remaining compatible with a symmetric-geometry origin. At the same time, the near scale-invariance of the CMB spectrum remains compatible with both inflation and TSPC⁴, so further discrimination depends on precision tests.

Forthcoming surveys provide decisive routes to falsification. DESI, Euclid, LSST, and SKA will probe structure growth and lensing at percent-level accuracy, narrowing the window on α_λ . CMB-S4 will test primordial fluctuations for evidence of an inflation, or its absence. If the data require inflation dynamics, TSPC will be excluded. If the structural signatures predicted here are borne out, inflation will no longer be necessary.

These observational contrasts can be expressed compactly as follows:

² Compare Liddle, A. R. & Lyth, D. H., *Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure* (Cambridge University Press, 2000), where inflationary dynamics are presented as the standard resolution.

³ Contrast with Guth, A. H., *op. cit.*, where superluminal expansion is introduced to account for uniformity.

⁴ See Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation*, *Astron. Astrophys.* 641, A10 (2020), providing precision constraints on n_s and inflationary models.

$$P(k) \approx A k^{n_s}, \quad n_s \simeq 1$$

The primordial spectrum is nearly scale-invariant ($n_s \simeq 1$) without inflation tilt or tuned running.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\Delta G}{G} \right| &< 10^{-5} \quad (\text{solar system}), \\ &\lesssim 10^{-6} \quad (\text{CMB lensing}), \\ &\sim 10^{-2} \quad (\text{cosmological}) \end{aligned}$$

Independent limits on α_λ from solar system, CMB, and cosmological data constrain a narrow, testable window.

4 Philosophical and Empirical Considerations

The explanatory reach of inflation has always rested on invention. A scalar field with a specially chosen potential was postulated to reproduce the universe's near-flatness, horizon uniformity, and initial order. Each of those features is real, but none demands such a field once the spacetime geometry itself is constrained. TSPC reverses the logic: it introduces no new entities, only a restriction. By narrowing the admissible metrics to those that respect global temporal symmetry, it makes the inflationary puzzles disappear as artefacts of an unconstrained model.

Philosophically the distinction is sharp. Inflation explains by addition; TSPC explains by exclusion. The first multiplies assumptions until the data are absorbed; the second removes freedoms until the same data follow necessarily. The resulting economy restores the classical principle that the simplest geometry consistent with observation is to be preferred. Order at the origin becomes a structural consequence of symmetry, not a contingent event requiring fine tuning or a reheating phase.

Empirically the difference is testable. Because all departures from general relativity are governed by a single bounded parameter α_λ , the framework yields quantitative predictions that can be confirmed or refuted. The inflationary paradigm, by contrast, can only be verified through the indirect discovery of its hypothetical field. In TSPC, falsification rests on measurable relations among observable quantities.

Falsifiability Conditions under TSPC

For the inflationary domain the constraint predicts a single fractional correction that must appear coherently across independent probes of expansion, structure growth, and curvature:

$$\frac{\Delta H(z)}{H(z)} \approx \frac{\Delta(f\sigma_8)}{f\sigma_8} \approx \alpha_\lambda$$

If these quantities diverge in sign or magnitude, the framework is excluded.
If they vary together within the same narrow bounds, the hypothesis is sustained.

Additional falsifiers:

- Detection of primordial tensor modes with $r \gg 10^{-3}$;
- A monotonic rather than symmetric mid- z acceleration profile;
- Violation of coupling bounds ($|\Delta G / G| > 10^{-5}$ solar-system, 10^{-6} CMB);
- Uncorrelated anomalies in $H(z)$ or $f\sigma_8(z)$.

All conditions are observationally accessible: the failure of any one falsifies the constraint.

In this way the test of inflation becomes the test of geometry itself. The TSPC framework transforms the question from whether a hypothetical field once existed to whether the universe conforms to a specific algebraic symmetry. Its claims are empirical, bounded, and reversible. Should the predicted coherence among expansion, growth, and curvature be violated, the constraint fails. Should it hold, the inflationary mechanism is rendered redundant, and the structure of the cosmos is shown to arise from symmetry alone.

5. Evaluation and Comparison

Inflation explains flatness and horizon uniformity by postulating a scalar field and special potentials, but at the cost of fine-tuning and auxiliary assumptions. The mechanism remains undetected and has grown increasingly elaborate as anomalies accumulate.

Bouncing models avoid inflation but often violate energy conditions or invoke exotic physics to reverse expansion⁵. They are vulnerable to instabilities and singularities.

Cyclic models offer recurrent behaviour but typically leave entropy reset unexplained and require external assumptions to recover time symmetry⁶.

Λ CDM accommodates data empirically, but only through dark matter and dark energy as unseen components. It leaves the arrow of time and initial conditions structurally unaccounted for.

By contrast, TSPC requires no scalar inflation, no bounce, and no entropy reset. A single algebraic condition on the metric yields global temporal symmetry and reframes the inflationary puzzles structurally. Its predictions follow from one tightly bounded parameter α_λ , coherent across expansion, structure growth, and lensing. Ongoing surveys will confirm or exclude the framework directly.

⁵ Battefeld, D. & Peter, P., *A critical review of classical bouncing cosmologies*, Phys. Rept. 571, 1–66 (2015).

⁶ Steinhardt, P. J. & Turok, N., *Cosmic evolution in a cyclic universe*, Phys. Rev. D 65, 126003 (2002).

6. Concluding Remarks

Flatness, horizon uniformity, and finely tuned initial conditions are the puzzles that motivated inflation. They arise only if the universe is assumed to evolve unidirectionally from a special origin. Under TSPC these puzzles dissolve. Global temporal symmetry enforces order at $T = 0$, secures curvature across both branches, and extends causal coherence without superluminal expansion.

Inflationary dynamics are thus not required. A single algebraic condition on the metric yields the necessary structure, bounded by one parameter α_1 already under test. Early observations are consistent with this framework, and forthcoming surveys will provide decisive discrimination. If the data require an inflation, TSPC will be excluded. If the structural signatures described here are borne out, the inflationary paradigm will be observationally unnecessary⁷.

[Back to the Catalogue](#)

⁷ Compare Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation*, Astron. Astrophys. 641, A10 (2020), providing the standard inflationary- Λ CDM fit to CMB data.